

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/631,217	STEVENS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: newly filed

 (1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Mark Homer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2004
Time: 3pm(approx)
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed of the allowable subject matter of claim 1. Each recited flat portion of claim 1 contains a "slot", however the second slot is not recited as being "of the second flat portion". As such, the applicant agrees to amend claim 1 to provide proper antecedent basis for the second recited slot. .